REMARKS

Claims 1 - 14 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 2, 5 - 9, 12 and 14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Publication No. 2003/0055919 A1 issued to Fong et al. Claim 3 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Fong in view of U.S. Publication No. 2002/0087868 A1 issued to King et al. Claims 4 and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Fong and King in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,155,857 A1 issued to Kunisaki et al. Claims 10 and 11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fong in view of U.S. Publication No. 2002/0178380 A1 issued to Wolf et al.

Fong discloses a one-click deployment of data processing systems from a PXE server that discovers target features, including RAID storage information, from a captured image.

Claim 1 recites, in part, "a deployment engine operable to communicate the RAID configuration information to the target information handling system after boot with the RAID configuration PXE file, the RAID configuration information for performing a vendor specific configuration, the deployment engine further operable to reset the DHCP server to boot the target information handling system to the primary boot file after application of the RAID configuration information".

Claim 9 recites, in part, "performing a PXE boot from an initial state of the target information handling system with the RAID configuration boot file to run a deployment operating system" and "performing a PXE boot with the primary boot file".

Fong cannot anticipate Claims 1 and 9 because Fong fails to teach, disclose or suggest all elements recited by Claims 1 and 9. For instance, Fong fails to teach, disclose or suggest "boot with the RAID configuration PXE file" and a reset "to boot the target information handling system to the primary boot file after application of the RAID configuration information" as recited by Claim 1. As another example, Fong fails to teach, disclose or suggest "performing a PXE boot from an initial state of the target information handling system with the RAID configuration boot file to run a deployment operating system" and "performing a PXE boot with the primary boot file" as recited by Claim 9. Fong suggests only a single PXE boot.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the rejections to Claims 1 and 9 and allow all pending Claims 1-14.

CONCLUSION

In view of the amendments and remarks set forth herein, the application is believed to be in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is solicited. Nonetheless, should any issues remain that might be subject to resolution through a telephonic interview, the examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically submitted to the COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS via EFS on August 14, 2006.

/Robert W. Holland/
_____
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Respectfully submitted,

/Robert W. Holland/

Robert W. Holland
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 40,020